Tax Map/Block/Parcel No. 46-21&22-1460

Case 5429

OFFICIAL DECISION BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS CARROLL COUNTY, MARYLAND

APPLICANT:

Christa Scotto

849 Wisteria Drive

Westminster, Maryland 21157

ATTORNEY:

n/a

REQUEST:

Variance from the required side yard setback of 12 ft. to 8 ft. and

from the required accessory building setback of 6 ft. to 5 ft. from

the principal building for an existing shed.

LOCATION:

The site is located at 849 Wisteria Drive, Westminster, MD

21157, on property zoned "R-20,000" Residential District in

Election District 7.

BASIS:

Code of Public Local Laws and Ordinances, Chapter 223-82 and

223-178 B

HEARING HELD:

July 24, 2008

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

On July 24, 2008, the Board of Zoning Appeals (the Board) convened to hear a variance request from the required side yard setback of 12 ft. to 8 ft. and from the required accessory building setback of 6 ft. to 5 ft. from the principal building for an existing shed. Based on the testimony and evidence presented, the Board made the following Findings and Conclusion:

The Applicant has resided at this property for 20 years. It includes a house and 1 acre (+-) of land. The Applicant has erected a 12' x 30' shed on the property in a location which infringes on the side yard setback and is 1 foot too close to her house.

The residence is served by an extensive septic system which renders most of the rear yard unusable for the placement of a shed. In addition, there is an above ground pool in the rear of the property. Alternate locations on the property for the shed would necessitate the construction of retaining walls, some of which would be impermissibly high. The purpose of the shed is to

OFFICIAL DECISION Case 5429 Page 2

house her son's possession while he is away on military duty. He is to return in 2011. Other houses in the neighborhood have sheds.

The Board found that due to the shape of the lot, the location of the pool, the elaborate septic system, and the hilly terrain of the property, there are no other locations on the property where the shed can be located absent a variance. In this instance, a strict application of the setback provisions would result in unnecessary hardship and practical difficulty. Accordingly, the requested variances were granted.

Based on the testimony of the Applicant, this use is temporary, and the variance will expire December 31, 2011. This period may be extended by the Board upon a showing of good cause.

bb M. Yingling, Chairman

Date 9/20/08

H:\Zoning Administration\BZA_Case.doc\c5429decision.wpd