DEPARTMENT OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY 225 N. Center Street, Westminster, Maryland 21157

County Office Building 410-386-2030/FAX 410-840-8931

THIS DOCUMENT MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL AND SENSITIVE MATERIAL AND MAY BE PROTECTED UNDER ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE AND/OR ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT. CONSULT AGENCY ATTORNEY PRIOR TO DISCLOSURE

July 9, 2007

MEMORANDUM TO: Robin Keefer

Tax Collections Office

FROM: Jo Vance

Office Manager

RE: Personal Property Taxes Due Carroll County

Account No. D-03894524

Jane's Goodies, Inc Our File No. 5146

Enclosed please find check no. 5353 in the amount of \$30.00. Please deposit in **account** no. 544599-0304 for court fees. Thank you!

Enclosure

RCM\rc\H:\rcooper\Tax\Payment memos\paymentforcourtfees.doc

Tax Map/Block/Parcel No. 52-18-71 Building Permit/Zoning Certificate No. 90-3898

Case 3500

OFFICIAL DECISION BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS CARROLL COUNTY, MARYLAND

APPLICANT:

Diane Mae (May) Thomas

1500 Bollinger Road

Westminster, Maryland 21157

REQUEST:

A variance to allow a proposed carport attached to the existing dwelling to be erected about 8 feet from the side property line, instead of at

least 15 feet

LOCATION:

1500 Bollinger Road in Election District 4

BASES:

Article 6, Section 6.7; Article 15, Section

15.3(a) and 15.5; Ordinance 1E

HEARING HELD:

February 26, 1991

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

The application, testimony and evidence comprising the record of this case are hereby included by reference in this decision. Based on the record, the Board hereby authorizes the request, subject to the condition that the front, rear, and side of the carport adjacent to the property line shall remain open from the ground level to the roof of the carport, except for the necessary supports of the roof forming the carport. Any construction, including screening or glass, which would enclose, or partially enclose, the carport shall be a violation of this decision. The pertinent findings justifying the authorization include the facts that use of the property is restricted by its configuration, and to a lesser degree by the topography. In addition, there is no indication that the carport, as proposed and conditioned above, will unduly affect the adjoining properties or public interest.

March 4, 1991

JDN/bmh/c3500dec February 28, 1991 ohn Totura,

Chairman